Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/046,592	GROSS, R. MICHAEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian E. Pellegrino	3738
All Participants:	Status of Application	n:
(1) <u>Brian E. Pellegrino</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Shane Niebergall</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>13 March 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102 (b) and 103 (a)		•
Claims discussed: 1-5,8-16		
Prior art documents discussed: US 2687131, US 5197949, US 4915694, US 5693030		
Part II.		T.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Claims 1-5,9-12 are allowed and the rejections for claims Niebergall gave authorization for the Examiner's amendm	8,13-16 are maintained after a de	
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separative did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief success 	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
Bran Pelleyring (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)